



Homo- and Heterojunction Dual-Band Detectors

A. G. Unil Perera, G. Ariyawansa, M. B. M. Rinzan,

M. Alevli, M. Strassburg, and N. Dietz

Department of Physics and Astronomy Georgia State University

S. G. Matsik

NDP Optronics, Mableton, Georgia, 30126

A. Asghar and I. T. Ferguson

School of Electrical and Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, Georgia, 30332

H. Luo, A. Bezinger, and H. C. Liu

Institute for Microstructural Sciences, National Research Council, Ottawa K1A OR6, Canada

Supported by: U. S. National Science Foundation (NSF) under grant ECS#0553051 U. S. Air Force under contract (SBIR) FA9453-05-M-0106





- Colleagues
 - N. Dietz and his group
 - S. Matsik
 - H. J. Peng
 - D. Esaev

Collaborators

- H. C. Liu
- S. Krishna
- P. Bhattacharya
- Y. Paltiel
- V. Gavrilenko
- B. Zvonkov
- I. Ferguson
- H. H. Tan
- C. Jagadish

- NRC Canada
- U. New Mexico
- U. Michigan
- Soreq NRC Israel
- Nizhni Novgorod
- Nizhni Novgorod
- Georgia Tech.
- Australian National Univ.
- Australian National Univ.

- M. Rinzan A. Weerasekara G. Ariyawansa
- V. Jayaweera
- R. Jayasinghe

2





- Dual Band Detector Applications
- HIWIP (Homojunction) and HEIWIP (Heterojunction)
 Interfacial Workfunction Internal Photoemission Detectors
- Dual-Band Detection Mechanism
- Experimental Results

Si HIWIP Dual Band Detector

GaAs HIWIP Dual Band Detector

GaN/AIGaN HEIWIP Dual Band Detector

Conclusion



Dual Band Detector Applications Land Mine Detection





Image 1 taken with the 9 μm band Disturbed soil is highly emissive

Image 2 taken with the 10.5 μm band

No or little effect of the disturbed soil

Fused Image = Image 1- Image 2

Blue shows areas of disturbed soil indicative of buried mines

Infrared Phys. and Technol.(44) 427 (2003)



Dual Band Detector Applications



Horsehead Nebula



Visible

Near-infrared

Mid-infrared

UV/ IR dual band detectors >>

Fire and Flame detection:

Different type of fires emit radiation from UV to IR with different intensities.

Hydrogen and coal flames have significant intensity variation in the emission spectrum in the UV and IR regions.

Horsehead Nebula: http://coolcosmos.ipac.caltech.edu/cosmic_classroom/ir_tutorial/irregions.htm







N_d : Doping of Emitter N_c : Mott's critical concentration

•••• Unbiased
Biased

$$\Delta = (\mathbf{E_C}^{n+} - \mathbf{E_F}) + \Delta \mathbf{E_C}$$







- N_d : Doping density in the Emitter/Absorber
- N_c : Mott's critical concentration
- N₀ : Critical concentration

$$\Delta = \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{c}}^{\mathbf{i}} - \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{F}}$$



- ➢ Fermi level is above the conduction band edge of the emitter
- Emitter becomes semi-metallic
- Infrared absorption is due to free carriers







- ➢ Fermi level is above the conduction band edge of the barrier
- Conduction band edge of the Emitter and the barrier become degenerate
- > Space charge region at the n^{++} i interface forms the barrier
- Barrier height depends on the concentration and the applied field











Interband Response >>

InN	0.62 µm (VIS)
GaN	0.39 µm (UV/VIS)
AIN	0.2 µm (UV)
InP	0.93 µm (VIS/NIR)
GaP	0.55 µm (VIS)
InAs	3.50 µm (NIR)
GaAs	0.87 µm (NIR)
AlAs	0.56 µm (VIS)
InSb	7.3 µm (MIR)
GaSb	1.71 µm (NIR)

Intraband Response >> With corresponding emitter material, doping concentration, and the alloy fraction, the wavelength threshold of the intraband (IR) response can be varied.



Si-HIWIP Dual Band Detectors











	Boron Impurity Peaks			
	Observed		Reported by Merlet <i>et al</i>	
Peak	(μ m)	(meV)	(meV)	
1	40.4	30.7	30.37	
2	36.0	34.4	34.50	
3	32.4	38.3	38.38	
4	31.3	39.6	39.63	

Phy. Rev. (B) **12** 3297(1974)



Si-HIWIP Response under High Fields





Bias Voltage (V)	(Quantum Efficiency) X (Gain)
-2	5.4
-1.5	1.5
-1	0.05







 The sharp peaks at ~ 31.3, 32.4, 36.0, and 40.4 µm correspond to the impurity transitions of Boron in Si.





Si-HIWIP

(Frequency =11 THz)

Т (К)	Field (kV/cm)	Wavelength (µm)	Responsivity (A/W)	NEP (W/Hz ^{1/2})	D* (Jones)
4.6	-10	10-35	1.7	3.4 x 10 ⁻¹³	1.2 x 10 ¹¹
4.6	-15	10-35	47	4.2 x 10 ⁻¹²	9.4 x 10 ⁹
4.6	-20	10-35	168	1.4 x 10 ⁻¹¹	2.8 x 10 ⁹
30	-10	10-35	1.4	1.3 x 10 ⁻¹¹	3.1 x 10 ⁹

Si-BIB

Т (К)	Wavelength Range (µm)	Responsivity (A/W)	NEP (W/Hz ^{1/2})
4.2	5-30	2	
7	2-40	32	1.2 x 10 ⁻¹⁵

¹IR Labs Inc.

²Huffman et al. J. Appl. Phys. 273, 72 (1992)



GaAs-HIWIP Dual Band Detector





- grown by MOCVD technique.
- The emitter is C- doped to 1.5X10¹⁹ cm⁻³.
- The contact layers are highly doped.







- Sharp drop at ~0.82 μm \rightarrow band gap in GaAs (1.51 eV @ 4.2 K)
- The arrow at ~0.819 μm indicates an exiton







- The deep valley around 37 μm is due to TO-Phonon of GaAs.
- The oscillations in MIR region match Fabri-Perot interference in the GaAs layer.

Impurity Levels J. Phys. C (11) 419 (1978)







APL(86) 143510 (2005)

Advantages of GaN







- Higher absorption
- Radiation hard







For all AlGaN structure

- Changing the Al fraction in both emitter and barrier by the same amount will change only the interband (UV) threshold.
- Changing the Al fraction only in the emitter will change only the intraband (IR) threshold.





Sample: 1158

Sample: 1547



• Different AI fractions in the barrier will change both the interband (uv) and intraband (IR) thresholds.









24

GaN/AlGaN IR Response- Sample 1158





GSU

GaN/AlGaN Dual Band Response- Sample 1158











UV Model: J. Appl. Phys. (82) 3528 (1997)























- HIWIP GaAs NIR/VLIR Dual Band Response
 - Si NIR/VLIR Dual Band Response
- HEIWIP GaN material high absorption

UV/ IR Dual Band Resposne

Changing AI fraction in GaN/AIGaN HEIWIP detectors

changes either UV or IR, or both thresholds.